Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/010,926	MORRISON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark Litman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8/6/04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 11-16		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr Litman in order to (i) correct minor typing error in the specification and (ii) cancel claims 11-16 in order to overcome the rejection of record with respect to these claims.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		